

and aircraft DSI configuration. At Mach 1.5, a prominent difference is observed between modeled bumps pressure recovery and aircraft configuration. This deviation is due to the fact that aircraft DSI configuration is optimally designed for supersonic speeds. This design includes bump geometry, positioning, forward swept angle of intake duct cowl lip and many other factors [10]. Hence, it is quite obvious that aircraft configuration is optimized and would yield highest pressure recovery than modeled bump geometries. Furthermore, the length of intake duct which was chosen for this analysis is not similar to actual length of aircraft duct which is subjected for comparison. Also, mass flow rate is not controlled in present analysis whereas pressure recovery at design mass flow rate is plotted for aircraft configuration. However, comparative analysis helped in verifying the variation of pressure recovery with the change in Mach No.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper different bump surfaces were modeled and analyzed to evaluate their performance. Four 04 different bump configurations are modeled in MATALB® based on their maximum amplitude, location of maximum amplitude, and initial / final bump angles. At subsonic and transonic speeds, Config 1 and 2 gave much similar pressure recovery to DSI configuration of aircraft, however, a significant difference in pressure recovery was observed at supersonic speeds. The deviation is due to the fact that aircraft DSI configuration is optimally designed for supersonic speeds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the use of Numerical Analysis Lab (NAL) of College of Aeronautical Engineering, Risalpur, Pakistan.

REFERENCES

- [1] Simon, P. C.; Brown, D. W.; and Huff, R. G. "Performance of external-compression bump inlet at Mach numbers of 1.5 and 2.0" NACA Report NACA-RM-E56L19 (1957).
- [2] Sóbester, A., Tradeoffs in jet inlet design: A historical perspective. *Journal of aircraft*, 2007. 44(3): p. 705-717.
- [3] Khan, O. and J. Masud, Evaluating an Experimental Streamlined Fairing for a Diverter Less Supersonic Inlet (DSI) Equipped Aircraft. AIAA.
- [4] Masud, J. and F. Akram, Flow field and performance analysis of an integrated diverterless supersonic inlet. *Aeronautical Journal*, 2011. 115(1170): p. 471.
- [5] Lim, S., Koh, D. H., Kim, S. D., and Song, D. J. "A Computational Study on the Efficiency of Boundary Layer Bleeding for the Supersonic Bump Type Inlet" AIAA-2009-34 47th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including The New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition, Orlando, Florida, Jan. 5-8, 2009.
- [6] Svensson, M., A CFD Investigation of a Generic Bump and its Application to a Diverterless Supersonic Inlet. 2008.
- [7] Rasmussen, Maurice P., "Waverider configurations derived from inclined circular and elliptic cones." *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets* 17.6 (1980): 537-545.
- [8] Yang, Y. "Design of Bump Inlet of Thunder / JF-17 Aircraft" *Journal of Nanjing University of Aeronautics & Astronautics*, Vol. 39, No. 4, August 2007, pp. 449-452.
- [9] Kim, S. D. "Aerodynamic Design of a Supersonic Inlet with a Parametric Bump" *Journal of Aircraft* 2009 vol.46 no.1 (198-202).
- [10] Seddon, J. and E.L. Goldsmith, Intake aerodynamics. 1999: Amer Inst of Aeronautics & Astronautics.

- [11] Liu, Yutong, et al. "The effects of different mesh generation methods on computational fluid dynamic analysis and power loss assessment in total cavopulmonary connection." *Journal of biomechanical engineering* 126.5 (2004): 594-603.
- [12] FLUENT, Computational Fluid Dynamics Software Package User Guide.
- [13] FLUENT, Computational Fluid Dynamics Software Package User Guide, Ver. 15.0, Fluent Inc, Lebanon, NH
- [14] Spalarat, P., and Allmaras, S., "A One-Equation Turbulence Model for Aerodynamic Flows." Technical Report AIAA-92-0439, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1992
- [15] Hassan, S., J. Masud, and O. Khan, Intake and Airframe Characterization through Composite CFD, 53rd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Kissimmee, Florida (2015).



Engr. Irsalan Arif is an Assistant Professor at Department of Aerospace Engineering, College of Aeronautical Engineering, NUST. He completed his Bachelors Degree in Aerospace Engineering from College of Aeronautical Engineering, NUST and received President's Gold Medal in Aerospace Engineering. He later completed his Masters Degree in Fluid Dynamics for Air University. His has done substantial research in the field of Aerodynamics, External flows, Internal flows etc. He has already presented and published his work in AIAA Scitech 2017. He has a work experience of 05 years in aviation maintenance and 02 years in R&D.